1		TATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
2	DI2	TRICT OF DELAWARE
3	IN RE:	. Chapter 11 . Case No. 22-11068 (JTD)
4	FTX TRADING LTD., et al.	
5		. Courtroom No. 5 . 824 Market Street
6	Debtors.	. Wilmington, Delaware 19801
7		. Friday, December 16, 2022 10:00 a.m.
8	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING	
9	BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN T. DORSEY CHIEF UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE	
10	APPEARANCES:	D DIMING DIMINGITET GODGE
11	For the Debtor:	Adam Landis, Esquire
12	For the Deptor.	LANDIS RATH & COBB LLP 919 Market Street, Suite 1800
13		Wilmington, Delaware 19801
14		James L. Bromley, Esquire Brian D. Glueckstein, Esquire
15		SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP 125 Broad Street
16		New York, NY 10004
17		
18	(APPEARANCES CONTINUED)	
19	Audio Operator:	Jermaine Cooper
20	Transcription Company:	Reliable The Nemours Building
21		1007 N. Orange Street, Suite 110 Wilmington, Delaware 19801
22		Telephone: (302)654-8080 Email: gmatthews@reliable-co.com
23	Proceedings recorded by	
24	Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.	
25		

1	APPEARANCES (CONTINUED):	
2	For the U.S. Trustee:	Juliet Sarkessian, Esquire OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRUSTEE 844 King Street, Suite 2207
4		Lockbox 35 Wilmington, Delaware 19801
5	For the Movants:	David Finger, Esquire FINGER & SLANINA, LLC
6		1201 North Orange Street Wilmington, Delaware 19801
7	For DLA Piper:	Stuart Brown, Esquire
8		DLA PIPER LLP (US) 1201 North Market Street
9		Suite 2100 Wilmington, Delaware 19801
10	For the Joint	
11	Provisional Liquidators:	Jason Zakia, Esquire
12		WHITE & CASE LLP 111 South Wacker Drive
13		Suite 5100 Chicago, Illinois 60606
14		5 ,
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

3 1 INDEX 2 MOTIONS: PAGE 3 Agenda 4 Item 4: Motion of Debtors for Entry of an Order (A) Authorizing the Debtors to File the 5 Indemnification and Exculpation Motion Under Seal and (B) Granting Related Relief 6 [D.I. 95; Filed 11/22/22] 7 6 Court's Ruling: 8 Agenda Item 6: Amended Expedited Motion of Bloomberg L.P., 6 9 Dow Jones & Company, Inc., the New York Times 10 Company and the Financial Times Ltd. to Intervene for the Limited Purpose of Objecting 11 to the Motion of Debtors for Entry of a Final Order Authorizing the Debtors to Redact or 12 Withhold Certain Confidential Information of Customers and Personal Information of 13 Individuals [D.I. 196; Filed 12/9/22] 14 Court's Ruling: 8 15 STATUS CONFERENCE: PAGE 16 17 Agenda Item 7: Emergency Motion of the Joint Provisional 13 18 Liquidators of FTX Digital Markets Ltd. (I) for Relief from Automatic Stay and (II) to 19 Compel Turnover of Electronic Records Under Sections 542, 1519(A)(3), 1521(A)(7) and 1522 20 of the Bankruptcy Code [D.I. 197; Filed 12/9/22] 21 22 23 24

1 (Proceedings commence at 10:06 a.m.)
2 THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. This is Judge
3 Dorsey. We're on the record in FTX Trading Ltd., Case Number

22-11068.

Before we start let me just remind everyone this is a formal Court proceeding even though we are pursuing it virtually so disruptions will not be tolerated. So please keep your lines on mute unless you are speaking and your cameras off unless you are one of the parties participating in the discussion.

With that I will turn it over to debtor's counsel.

MR. GLUECKSTEIN: Good morning, Your Honor. This
is Brian Glueckstein of Sullivan & Cromwell for the debtors.

Can you hear me okay?

THE COURT: Yes. Thank you.

MR. GLUECKSTEIN: Thank you, Your Honor. Good morning.

As reflected on the amended agenda that we filed at Docket 232 we have only a short remaining agenda, three matters for today, with the remaining items scheduled either being resolved or adjourned to the January 11th hearing.

Your Honor, I propose to just proceed in order of what we have remaining on the agenda if that is okay with the Court.

With respect to the first item up today, Agenda

Item 4, is the debtor's motion to seal indemnification and exculpation motion. The motion to seal was filed at Docket 95.

Your Honor will recall that at the November 22nd hearing the Court entered an interim order at Docket No. 141, at the debtor's request, sealing the debtor's motion, authorizing certain indemnification and exculpation in connection with the debtor's asset recovery efforts and the interim order granting that motion, which were filed at Docket Numbers 94 and 140 respectively.

The debtors have made significant progress locating and securing digital assets, and that work remains ongoing.

Nonetheless, the debtors are comfortable that at this point, in their work, when also considering the need for transparency in these Chapter 11 cases that the motion and interim order can be unsealed at this time.

As a result, the debtors are not requesting further sealing of the motion and interim order on a final basis, and, therefore, request that the Court unseal those documents at this time. The debtor's request for entry of a final order with respect to the indemnification motion itself is scheduled to be heard at our second day hearing on January 11th.

THE COURT: All right. Does anyone wish to be heard?

(No verbal response)

THE COURT: All right. I will enter the order to unseal those pleadings.

MR. GLUECKSTEIN: Thank you, Your Honor.

The next item up this morning is Agenda Item No. 6 which is the amended motion of certain media outlets to intervene in these proceedings for a limited purpose.

I will cede the podium to the movants.

THE COURT: Mr. Finger.

MR. FINGER: Good morning, Your Honor. David

Finger on behalf of the proposed interveners. May I please
the Court.

I believe Your Honor has some historical familiarity with access motions. I don't know how much detail Your Honor wants me to go into a motion to intervene aspect of it. Certainly the Courts in this district and the Appellate Third Circuit all recognize intervention as an appropriate means to deal with access cases.

(Indiscernible) we share interest with the public as the eyes and ears of the public. There is no need for an independent basis for jurisdiction. The claim is under constitutional rights as well as federal common law and, therefore, we satisfy the requirements for intervention.

Turning to the motion to unseal I know yesterday,

Your Honor, that the trustee filed the -- identified the

members of the creditors committee and those names were not under seal so we are already starting a process of names dribbling out. The point I made in the motion is that names are going to come out eventually. There is no basis for distinguishing between names that voluntarily come out and those who are on a list. That is not the standard for sealing or unsealing what actions they have taken.

THE COURT: Well, Mr. Finger, let me stop you for a second. I think the only thing on the agenda for today was the motion to intervene. I will be scheduling a hearing on the motion to unseal. I also saw that the committee was appointed yesterday so we can now -- that is what I was waiting for, for a committee to be appointed. Obviously, they are going to have to retain counsel and get up to speed before we have a hearing on this because this is something they are going to want to weigh-in on.

Let me just stick with the amended motion to intervene. I have read those papers. Is there any objection?

I made the objection deadline today at the hearing. Is anyone objecting to the motion to intervene?

MR. GLUECKSTEIN: Your Honor, Brian Glueckstein of Sullivan & Cromwell for the debtors.

Just very briefly. The debtors do not object to the media outlets intervening for the sole purpose of permitting the Court to hear their objection to the debtor's

motion for an order authorizing redaction of individual information.

As Your Honor notes, that motion is not being heard on the merits today. It will be heard at a future hearing. The debtors do reserve all rights with respect to standing and, otherwise, in the event that the media intervenors seek to intervene more broadly in the case, but with respect to being heard on this motion we don't have any objection.

THE COURT: Anyone else wish to be heard? (No verbal response)

THE COURT: Mr. Finger, I assume the media outlets are only seeking to intervene for purposes of the sealing motion. Is that correct?

MR. FINGER: That is correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. FINGER: They reserve the right to seek to intervene in case there are other sealing issues that come up or other matters for media interests. For now this is limited to objecting to the proposed order sealing the names and addresses of creditors.

THE COURT: Okay. I will approve that order. Do we have a form of order uploaded that the parties agree on?

MR. FINGER: I am not sure of that, Your Honor, but I will certainly contact Mr. Glueckstein when this is over to make sure that we have something that is agreeable to

everyone.

THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead and confer, and upload the order. No need to do it under COC. I will enter the order once it gets uploaded.

MR. FINGER: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Glueckstein, back -- and I will come back to the -- we will talk about the scheduling of that motion in a moment, but let me go back to Glueckstein for the agenda for now.

MR. GLUECKSTEIN: Thank you, Your Honor.

The only other item on the agenda for this morning is the status conference with respect to the motion of the JPL's of FTX Digital Markets.

Did you want to address scheduling before we handle that or at the end?

THE COURT: Well why don't we -- well, I mean the only thing I was going to say about scheduling is -- I was wondering if you were on, Ms. Sarkessian -- to have the parties meet and confer with the committee once committee counsel is appointed so that we can get something on the books. I don't want this to linger out there too long. I think it's something that does need to be addressed in a fairly timely manner. Obviously, we are going to be into January before that happens, but I also want to make sure the committee's counsel is comfortable with its ability to

properly address that motion.

So I would just ask, Mr. Glueckstein and Ms.

Sarkessian, if you could meet and confer with the committee's counsel, once we know who they are, and then contact Chambers for a hearing date.

MS. SARKESSIAN: Your Honor, if I could address the Court briefly.

THE COURT: Sure, go ahead.

MS. SARKESSIAN: Juliet Sarkessian for the U.S. Trustee.

At the hearing earlier this week I believe Your
Honor suggested scheduling that hearing starting at 9 a.m. on
January the 11th. Initially, I think there is an omnibus
hearing for 10 a.m. and you suggested 9 a.m. because, you
know, potentially this hearing could have -- there is some
aspect of it that will be evidentiary. Is that something
that we could, sort of, put a pin on and have that reserved
so that assuming that date is okay for the committee that we
could move forward then?

THE COURT: Yeah. I do have it blocked on my calendar beginning at 9:30 on the 11th, but we can start at 9. I think we -- you had indicated, Ms. Sarkessian, at the last hearing that 9:30 would work better for you. So I set it for 9:30, but we can make it 9 if we think this is going to run over with all the other motions that are going to be

on for that day. 1 2 MS. SARKESSIAN: I leave that to debtor's counsel 3 to address. I can -- 9 o'clock is manageable for me. I can 4 make it work. 5 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Landis. 6 (No verbal response) 7 THE COURT: You're muted, Mr. Landis. MR. LANDIS: Sorry. No matter how long we do this, 8 9 Your Honor, I need to be reminded to click unmute. Adam Landis from Landis Rath & Cobb on behalf of 10 the debtors. 11 We were anticipating Your Honor starting at 9. Ms. 12 Sarkessian had indicated that she could make it work. And 13 based on the length of the agenda and the nature of the 14 15 matters going forward we thought we were going to need the 16 time. So we would appreciate the Court's indulgence to start 17 at 9. 18 THE COURT: Okay. We will start it at 9 and we will, at least, block out, including the seal motion on for 19 20 that day subject to the committee's counsel coming in and 21 saying they need more time. 22 MS. SARKESSIAN: Thank you, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Brown, do you want to be

heard? I see you turned your camera on.

(No verbal response)

24

THE COURT: You're muted, Mr. Brown. 1 I wish I had a dollar for every time I said that in 2 3 the last three years. 4 (Laughter) 5 MR. BROWN: You could retire. Can Your Honor hear 6 me? 7 THE COURT: You're muted still. It sounded like you had two microphones open at the same time. You were getting 8 feedback. 9 10 MR. BROWN: Can Your Honor hear me now? THE COURT: Yes. 11 MR. BROWN: Okay. I'm sorry, Your Honor. My zoom 12 requires me to dial-in as well. That is a technical issue on 13 14 my end. 15 THE COURT: You're going to have to speak-up a 16 little, Mr. Brown, or get the microphone closer. 17 MR. BROWN: I represent one of the members of the 18 committee, and not on behalf of the committee, but just 19 reporting to the Court that the committee is meeting and 20 going through its processes, and expects to select counsel 21 either Monday or Tuesday of next week and just wanted the 22 Court and parties to be aware of that. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. I appreciate that, Mr. Brown. 24 25 Are there any other scheduling issues we need to

discuss at this point? I don't have anything on my list that needs to be scheduled.

MR. GLUECKSTEIN: Not from the debtor's perspective, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Let's go ahead with the status conference.

MR. GLUECKSTEIN: Thank you, Your Honor. For that I will turn it over to my partner, Mr. Bromley.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BROMLEY: Good morning, Your Honor. James Bromley of Sullivan & Cromwell on behalf of the debtors.

We were before Your Honor a couple of days ago with respect to the request by the joint provisional liquidators from the Bahamas. We had a meeting yesterday in New York which included representatives of the debtors, the joint provisional liquidators, and the Securities Commission of the Bahamas, both principals and counsel. While we haven't come to any conclusions we did have a productive exchange of views.

We have a proposal from the joint provisional liquidators. The debtors will be responding to that proposal and at the moment, Your Honor, we don't have a solution, but we are certainly working in good faith to see if we can get to a solution.

For the moment I think it makes sense to continue

to hold a date of January 6th. It is certainly our hope that we might be able to have a solution prior to that and notwithstanding the holidays we will be working towards trying to come to that conclusion. At the moment, Your Honor, I think we need to continue to hold that date.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Zakia.

MR. ZAKIA: Good morning, Your Honor. Jason Zakia of White & Case on behalf of the joint provisional liquidators.

First matter, Mr. Shore sends his apologies. He is about three doors down from you, I think, in a different courtroom on a long scheduled hearing. So you are stuck with me.

Mr. Bromley is correct, we did have a meeting, principals and counsel did attend. Mr. Ray attended. We very much appreciated that. We are hopeful that we will be able to work this out.

Honor can understand from our client's perspective in case we do need to move forward. First, we believe that the proposal we made with respect to the information addresses all of the issues that were raised by Mr. Bromley earlier this week. So we are hoping that the debtors consider that in good faith and that we are able to come to an agreement because this is critically important from our client, Your Honor.

This is a threshold issue for them. They need access to their debtor's books and records in order to do their job as Court appointed fiduciaries. So from our perspective it will be really important that this issue with regard to the information gets considered on its own merits and isn't tied together with other parts of the case.

There are a lot of issues in the case that will have to be dealt with and that will all come in time, but we believe that our request for information, which we believe is quite unremarkable, should be considered on its own and not tied together with other issues.

On timing, Your Honor, I know that Your Honor has set this for the 6th and so we will proceed on that schedule. From our perspective this really is an urgent matter and so while we are happy to work with the debtors from our perspective we cannot have the issue of the information slip past the 6th.

So to the extent we are not able to come to an agreement what we really need to avoid from our perspective is having this, kind of, drag out so that we can't even really begin to do our job in the Bahamas for any longer because this is really interfering with the ability of the liquidators to do their job. So that is why the timing, from our perspective, is so important.

I think it's important to note that in any

international case like this there are a multitude of jurisdictions that have legitimate and important interests, and that certainly includes the Bahamas. Remember, these are Bahamian entities. Our clients are the trustees for a Bahamian entity. And the Bahamian legal system is an independent legal system that should be respected.

There have been a lot of allegations an aspersions thrown around directed at the Bahamas, the Bahamian Government, the Bahamian legal system, and we will deal with that in good time if necessary. If we get to the 6th we think the evidence will show that those allegations are wholly without merit. In fact, as Mr. Shore pointed out one of the allegations that the debtors raised in connection with -- in response to this motion to shorten that the Bahamians were somehow facilitating payments, off the system, to Bahamian residents in preference to other creditors.

When we look at the evidence they have that exactly backwards. Our clients were appointed specifically as part of an effort to stop such activity. And so we do think that to the extent that there have been allegations of any improper conduct by our clients or by the Bahamian system, as a whole, at the right time the evidence will show that that is entirely unfounded.

The last point I want to make, Your Honor, just so you can understand this from my client's perspective, my

clients are independent Court appointed fiduciaries for the
Bahamian estate that had no involvement in this matter prior
to their appointment and the commencement of these
bankruptcy proceedings. They are charged under Bahamian law
with investigating what happened and the information that is
requested by this motion, which, again, is the information
that belongs to the debtors over which they have been charged
and given responsibility is fundamental to their ability to
do that investigation.

We found ourselves in a situation which, again, from their perspective is a little bit upside down, where the debtor's, even with regard to the information that belongs to the Bahamian entity, the debtors have set themselves up as a gatekeeper here, and while I am --

THE COURT: Mr. Zakia, I'm going to cut you off because we're getting into the merits of the issues here. We have a hearing date on the 6th. I am going to talk a little bit more about that date and what is going to happen leading up to it. Let's -- you know, this is not the time to make those arguments.

MR. ZAKIA: Understood, Your Honor.

THE COURT: The evidence will show what the evidence shows if we get to the 6th. That is what I will base my decision upon at that time.

MR. ZAKIA: I am --

THE COURT: Hold on, Mr. Zakia. 1 2 MR. ZAKIA: Sorry, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: So at this point what I want to know is have -- is the briefing complete on the motion to lift the 4 5 stay and turn over -- Mr. Bromley is shaking his head no. haven't seen a response from the debtors yet. Is that 6 correct, Mr. Bromley? 7 MR. BROMLEY: That is correct, Your Honor. We did 8 9 file an objection to the motion to shorten. We have not 10 filed an objection to the motion to compel. We would like to 11 have a schedule to do that. 12 THE COURT: All right. 13 MR. ZAKIA: Your Honor, just on that point -- if I 14 -- this might help with Mr. Bromley's response. One of the 15 things that we will do, if we proceed on the 6th, Your Honor, 16 I know that the live access versus static access was a hot issue. And while, again, we don't agree with the debtor's 17 18 concerns, in order to simplify this hearing for purposes of the 6th what we will be seeking is static access, not live 19 20 access. We think that that makes the issues simpler and 21 cleaner. 22 So we would be amending the relief we sought in the 23 motion to seek, at this point without prejudice, live access 24 later, simply static access at this point.

THE COURT: All right.

```
MR. ZAKIA: Hopefully that makes the issues
 1
 2
    simpler.
              THE COURT: All right. It sounds like it might,
 3
   but I will leave that to Mr. Bromley. That is an issue for
 4
 5
   him to address with his clients.
              So for the briefing schedule we have a hearing date
 6
 7
   on the 6th.
              Mr. Bromley, when do you want to file your response
 8
 9
    to the motion to compel?
10
              MR. BROMLEY: Your Honor, the 6th is a Friday. We
    would like to be able to file our response on the morning of
11
    the 4th which is Wednesday. So 9 a.m. on the 4th.
12
13
              THE COURT: That won't give much time for Mr. Zakia
    to file a reply brief. Why don't we --
14
15
              MR. ZAKIA: You read my mind, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: -- make it -- why don't we make your
16
   brief due, Mr. Bromley, on the 30th and then if there is a
17
18
    reply that will be on the 4th and that gives me a couple days
    to review it before I have to go to the hearing.
19
20
              MR. BROMLEY: Certainly we will meet those dates,
    Your Honor.
21
22
              THE COURT: Okay. And we have -- this will,
23
    obviously, be a live hearing with -- well, hybrid, I guess.
24
    People who want to participate -- who aren't going to be
25
    actively participating in the hearing on the 6th can appear
```

virtually, but the main parties to the case and certainly the witnesses must appear live in the Courtroom.

MR. BROMLEY: Understood, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Same goes for, I think, the second day hearings -- just to go off this issue for a while, the second day hearings, Mr. Bromley, are we anticipating -- well now that we may have the issue on sealing I assume we're going to have witnesses. So that will probably have to be a hybrid hearing as well. So just so that everybody knows ahead of time.

MR. BROMLEY: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So anything else on scheduling before we adjourn?

MR. BROMLEY: With respect to the hearing on the 6th if we do have to go forward, what I would propose, Your Honor, is on the 30th, when we file our response, that that will also be the date that the parties would exchange names of testifying witnesses for the hearing on the 6th.

THE COURT: Yes, absolutely. And to the extent you can agree on stipulated facts, and exhibits, and the admissibility of exhibits, we should also do that on the 30th as well. So why don't we just go ahead and have, kind of, a pretrial order that lays out what the agreed upon facts are, what the disputed facts are, what the witnesses are, and a brief statement about what they're going to testify about,

exhibits, list of exhibits, whether they're agreed to or not and that way I can address any objections to the exhibits at the beginning of the hearing on the 6th.

MR. BROMLEY: Very good, Your Honor.

MR. ZAKIA: Your Honor, just with regard to scheduling, I don't know if you want to deal with this now, we spoke, I think, on Wednesday as to the scheduling of the recognition hearing.

THE COURT: I asked about it.

MR. ZAKIA: Yeah, I thought Chambers had given us the 13th of January as a date for that. I didn't know if that still works for the Court or the debtors.

THE COURT: It's not on my calendar.

MR. ZAKIA: I didn't know if you wanted to set that now or if we should work with Chambers offline to accomplish that.

THE COURT: Work with Chambers and debtor's counsel. Obviously, you are going to have to have the committee's counsel as well on that one.

MR. BROMLEY: Your Honor, with respect to the recognition certainly it is our hope that we will be able to resolve issues that exist between the parties, but if we are not able to we do not consent to go forward on the 13th. We will need discovery with respect to the recognition hearing. So our view is that what we should do, at best, is have a

scheduling hearing with respect to discovery. We will need to take discovery not only of the joint provisional liquidators, but also other parties in the Bahamas if we do decide to go forward on that basis.

THE COURT: All right. I will let the parties meet and confer along with the committee counsel and then if you need to have a scheduling conference come back and we will do that.

MR. ZAKIA: I would just suggest to Mr. Bromley if there is discovery and they want to get started they can get started. Again, we're going to want Your Honor to be able to deal with these issues in a timely manner. I know we don't have to resolve that here, but it's going to prejudice our clients if these things just get pushed off indefinitely.

THE COURT: Well it sounds like the parties are talking to each other and hopefully the cooperation will continue including discovery issues. I don't want to have to spend my holiday, while I'm traveling, having to have a conference call about a discovery dispute. So hopefully the parties can agree on discovery issues.

Anything else before we adjourn?

MR. BROMLEY: Nothing from the debtors, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you all very much.
We're adjourned. I guess I will -- I guess we won't get

together then till the 6th if that goes forward. Hopefully

the parties can resolve it, but if not I'm prepared to go forward on the 6th. Thank you all very much. Enjoy the holidays. I will see everybody next year. We're adjourned. (Proceedings concluded at 10:32 a.m.) CERTIFICATION I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter to the best of my knowledge and ability. /s/ Mary Zajaczkowski December 1<u>6, 2022</u> Mary Zajaczkowski, CET-531 Certified Court Transcriptionist For Reliable